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Abstract

In this paper we show how a fuzzification process can benefit of the F-transform and possibility distributions.

1 Introduction

In order to handle incomplete information, possibility theory is a powerful choice. It is similar to probability theory because it is based on set functions; in possibility theory, however, the additivity does not hold and there are two dual functions and not only one: the possibility and the necessity measures ([6]). The possibility distributions were primarily introduced by Zadeh ([24]) in order to provide a graded semantics to natural language statements. Hereinafter the two measures become a common way to model uncertainty.

Liu in [9] provides a survey of credibility theory that is a new branch of mathematics for studying fuzzy events. The number \(Cr\{A\}\) indicates the credibility that an event \(A\) will occur and it satisfies certain mathematical properties.

The paper is organized in four sections. After the introduction we suggest the correct use of the quantiles in the possibilistic distribution function.

2 Use of quantiles in the (possibilistic) distribution function

Consider a fuzzy number \(u \in \mathbb{R}_F\) with membership function (in LR-representation) \(u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]\), with support \([a, b]\) and core \([c]\), \(c \in ]a, b[\)

\[
u(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x < a \\
u^L(x) & \text{if } a \leq x \leq c \\
1 & \text{if } c \leq x \leq d \\
u^R(x) & \text{if } d \leq x \leq b \\
0 & \text{if } x > b
\end{cases}
\]
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the \( \alpha \)-cuts (in LU-representation) are
\[
[u]_\alpha = [u^-_\alpha, u^+_\alpha], \ \alpha \in [0, 1].
\]

The possibility function of \( u \) is defined for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) by
\[
Pos_u(x) = \sup \{ u(t) \mid t \leq x \};
\]
and the necessity function of \( u \) is
\[
Nec_u(x) = 1 - \sup \{ u(t) \mid t > x \}
\]
then the (possibilistic) distribution function of \( u \) is defined by
\[
F_u(x) = \frac{Pos(x) + Nec(x)}{2}
\]
i.e., if \( u \) is continuous,
\[
F_u(x) = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } x < a \\
\frac{1}{2}u^L(x) & \text{if } a \leq x \leq c \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } c \leq x \leq d \\
1 - \frac{1}{2}u^R(x) & \text{if } d \leq x \leq b \\
1 & \text{if } x > b
\end{cases}
\]
Remark that \( F_u(c) = \frac{1}{2} \).

We can prove the following result:

**Proposition 1** Let \( u \in \mathbb{R} \). For all \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), the \( \alpha \)-cut \([u^-_\alpha, u^+_\alpha]\) of \( u \) is given by
\[
u^-_\alpha = \inf \{ x \mid F_u(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \} \quad (2)
\]
\[
u^+_\alpha = \sup \{ x \mid F_u(x) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \} \quad (3)
\]

**Proof.** We fix \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \) and for (2) we have that \( F_u(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \) means
\[
0 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \leq a
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2}u^L(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \in [a, c]
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \in [c, d]
\]
\[
1 - \frac{1}{2}u^R(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \in [d, b]
\]
\[
1 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \geq b
\]
so that
\[
\inf \left\{ x \mid F_u(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} =
\]
\[
= \inf \left\{ x \mid u^L(x) \geq \alpha \text{ if } x \in [a, c], \text{ or } u^R(x) \leq 2 - \alpha \text{ if } x \in [c, b] \right\} \tag{4}
\]
where we can exclude the case \( x \leq a \) because the inequality \( 0 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \) is never true and we can omit \( x \geq b \), too, because the inequality \( 1 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \) is always verified.
Finally we observe that \( u^L(u^-_\alpha) \geq \alpha \) and that the following implication holds \( x < u^-_\alpha \Rightarrow u^L(x) < \alpha \); on this way we obtain that the expression in (4) is equal to:
\[
\inf \left\{ x \mid u^L(x) \geq \alpha \right\} = u^-_\alpha
\]
due to the fact that \( u^R(x) \leq 2 - \alpha \) is always true because \( u^R(x) \in [0, 1] \) and \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).
In a similar way for (3) we have that \( F_u(x) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \) means
\[
1 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \leq a
\]
\[
1 - \frac{1}{2} u^L(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \in [a, c]
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \in [c, d]
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} u^R(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \in [d, b]
\]
\[
0 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{ if } x \geq b
\]
Now, for \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \) it is impossible to have \( 0 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \) and then all values \( x \geq b \) can be omitted; in addition the inequality \( 1 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \) is always true and we can remove the condition \( x \leq a \). These consideration imply that
\[
\sup \{ x \mid F_u(x) \leq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \} =
\]
\[
= \sup \left\{ x \mid u^L(x) \leq 2 - \alpha \text{ if } x \in [a, c], \text{ or } u^R(x) \geq \alpha \text{ if } x \in [c, b] \right\}
\]
Observing, finally, that the inequality \( u^L(x) \leq 2 - \alpha \) is always true we can conclude as follows
\[
= \sup \{ x \mid u^R(x) \geq \alpha \} = u^+_\alpha
\]
because \( u^R(u^+_\alpha) \geq \alpha \) and that the following implication holds \( x < u^+_\alpha \Rightarrow u^R(x) < \alpha \). ■
A consequence is that, if \( F_u(x) \) is continuous and strictly increasing, \( u^-_\alpha \) is such that \( F_u(u^-_\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \) and \( u^+_\alpha \) is such that \( F_u(u^+_\alpha) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \); furthermore, considering \( \alpha = 1 \), we obtain \( c = \inf \{ x \mid F_u(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \} = \sup \{ x \mid F_u(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \} \) i.e. \( c = \{ x \mid F_u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \} \); the core value \( c \) (assumed to be unique) has the same property as the median of a probability distribution.
Consider the following function (the integral is Riemann-Stieltjes assuming \( F_u(x) \) absolutely continuous)
\[
S_u(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |m - x| dF_u(x).
\]
The minimization of $S_u(m)$ with respect to $m$ gives a value of $m$ such that $\int_{-\infty}^{m} dF_u(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\int_{m}^{+\infty} dF_u(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$; and if $F_u(x)$ is absolutely continuous this gives $F_u(m) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $1 - F_u(m) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $F_u(m) = \frac{1}{2}$; it follows that $m = c$.

**Proposition 2** Let $F_u(x)$ be the (possibilistic) distribution function of $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(x) = 1 \iff x = c$. Then $S_u(c) \leq S_u(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** We can write

$$S_u(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{c} (\xi - x)dF_u(x) + \int_{c}^{c} (x - \xi)dF_u(x).$$

Then, considering that $\xi - x = (\xi - c) + (c - x)$ or $x - \xi = (x - c) + (c - \xi)$ and using the properties $\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} = \int_{-\infty}^{c} + \int_{c}^{\xi}$, we have

$$S_u(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{c} (\xi - c)dF_u(x) + \int_{c}^{c} (c - x)dF_u(x) + \int_{c}^{\xi} (x - c)dF_u(x) + \int_{\xi}^{c} (c - \xi)dF_u(x).$$

But

$$\int_{-\infty}^{c} (\xi - c)dF_u(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi - c),$$

$$\int_{c}^{+\infty} (c - \xi)dF_u(x) = \frac{1}{2}(c - \xi),$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{c} (c - x)dF_u(x) + \int_{c}^{+\infty} (x - c)dF_u(x) = \int_{c}^{+\infty} |x - c|dF_u(x)$$

and it follows that

$$S_u(\xi) = S_u(c) + 2 \int_{c}^{\xi} (\xi - x)dF_u(x).$$

Now, from the basic properties of the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, we have $\int_{c}^{\xi} (\xi - x)dF_u(x) \geq 0$; as a consequence, $S_u(\xi) \geq S_u(c)$ and the proof is complete. \[\blacksquare\]
Definition 3 (possibilistic) \( r \)-quantile

Analogously, we can prove the following property.

**Proposition 4** If \( u \) is a fuzzy number with possibilistic distribution \( F_u(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), then for all \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), the \( \alpha \)-cuts \( [u^-_\alpha, u^+_\alpha] \) of \( u \) are such that \( u^-_\alpha \) is the \( \frac{\alpha}{2} \)-quantile and \( u^+_\alpha \) is the \( (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \)-quantile of \( F_u(x) \), i.e. they are obtained, respectively, by minimizing the functionals

\[
S^-_\alpha(m) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{m} (m-x) dF_u(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{m}^{+\infty} (x-m) dF_u(x)
\]

and

\[
S^+_\alpha(m) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{m} (m-x) dF_u(x) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \int_{m}^{+\infty} (x-m) dF_u(x).
\]

The proposition above is useful to estimate the level cuts (and the membership function) of a normal fuzzy number \( u \in \mathbb{R}_F \). This application mimics very well known results in statistics and we apply it without proof.

Suppose that a fuzzy number \( u \in \mathbb{R}_F \) is known at \( N \) observations \( (x_i, u(x_i)) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) and that the known membership values \( u(x_i) \) are uniform on \([0,1]\).

For a value of \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) determine the (empirical) \( \frac{\alpha}{2} \)-quantile \( u^-_\alpha(N) \) and the \( (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \)-quantile \( u^+_\alpha(N) \) to be the minimizers of the functionals

\[
S^-_\alpha(m) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{x_i < m} (m-x_i) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{x_i > m} (x_i-m)
\]

\[
S^+_\alpha(m) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{x_i < m} (m-x_i) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{x_i > m} (x_i-m).
\]

The obtained values

\[
m^-_\alpha(N) = \arg \min_m S^-_\alpha(m) \quad (5)
\]

\[
m^+_\alpha(N) = \arg \min_m S^+_\alpha(m) \quad (6)
\]

are an estimate \([m^-_\alpha(N), m^+_\alpha(N)]\) of the \( \alpha \)-cut \([u^-_\alpha, u^+_\alpha]\) of \( u \).

The minimization can be performed by linear programming in the following way.

Inserire e spiegare

For \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) let \( j_k \) and \( n_k \) be the integers such that \( t_j \in [x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}] \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) for \( j = j_k + 1, \ldots, j_k + n_k \). We have \( n_k \geq 1 \) because the points \( t_j \) are sufficiently dense with respect to the partition \((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A})\). Then the two linear
programming formulations can be obtained as follows: define $2n_k$ nonnegative variables $y_i^-, y_i^+, i = 1, 2, ..., n_k$ such that

$$y_i^- = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(t_{jk+i}) \geq F \\ F - f(t_{jk+i}) & \text{if } f(t_{jk+i}) < F \end{cases}$$
$$y_i^+ = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(t_{jk+i}) \leq F \\ f(t_{jk+i}) - F & \text{if } f(t_{jk+i}) > F \end{cases}.$$  

Then

$$y_i^- + y_i^+ = |F - f(t_{jk+i})|, i = 1, 2, ..., n_k,$$
$$y_i^- - y_i^+ = F - f(t_{jk+i}), i = 1, 2, ..., n_k$$

and

$$S^-_\alpha (F) = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} y_i^- A_k(t_{jk+i}) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} y_i^+ A_k(t_{jk+i})$$
$$S^+_\alpha (F) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} y_i^- A_k(t_{jk+i}) + (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} y_i^+ A_k(t_{jk+i}).$$

Defining $c_i^{(k)}(t_{jk+i}) = A_k(t_{jk+i})$ and $b_i^{(k)} = f(t_{jk+i})$, $i = 1, ..., n_k$, $k = 1, ..., n$, the minimization of $S^-_\alpha (F)$ and $S^+_\alpha (F)$ requires to minimize the two linear objective functions (with nonnegative cost coefficients)

$$S^-_\alpha (F) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) c_i^{(k)} y_i^- + \frac{\alpha}{2} c_i^{(k)} y_i^+$$
$$S^+_\alpha (F) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \frac{\alpha}{2} c_i^{(k)} y_i^- + (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) c_i^{(k)} y_i^+$$

subject to the constraints

$$F - y_i^- + y_i^+ = b_i^{(k)}, i = 1, ..., n_k$$
$$y_i^- \geq 0, i = 1, ..., n_k$$
$$y_i^+ \geq 0, i = 1, ..., n_k$$

$F$ unconstrained.

**Example.**

We consider the fuzzy number $u \in \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{K}$ having $\alpha$-cuts $[u^-_\alpha, u^+_\alpha] = [10\alpha^{0.5}, 12 - 2\alpha^{1.5}]$ and we sample $u^-_\alpha$ and $u^+_\alpha$ at $N$ points $x_i$ generated on $[0, 1]$ as follows: $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_i = \text{rand}()$ and $\alpha_N = 1$ ($N$ is even and $\text{rand}()$ is a uniform random number between 0 and 1). The $N$ observations of $u$ are given by $x_i = 10\alpha_i^{0.5}$ and $x_i = 12 - 2\alpha_i^{1.5}$, $i = 1, ..., N$. Then, using the $N$ observations $x_1, ..., x_N$, the $\alpha$-cuts $[u^-_k, u^+_k]$ of $u$ are estimated for $\alpha_k = \frac{k-1}{20}$ ($k = 1, ..., 21$) by averaging the results of $P = \{10, 20, 50\}$ independent runs of (5)-(6).

Cite the convergence theorem of big numbers.
The figures\(^1\) below report the results for different values of \(N\); when \(N\) increases, the estimated \(\alpha\)-cuts become better.

\begin{itemize}
\item[(1):] \(N/2 = 11\). 10 instances (left) and estimated (right, stars) \(\alpha\)-cuts.
\end{itemize}

\begin{itemize}
\item[(2):] \(N/2 = 21\). 10 instances (left) and estimated (right, stars) \(\alpha\)-cuts.
\end{itemize}

\(^1\)All figures are performed through Matlab elaborations.
(3): \( \frac{N}{2} = 51 \). 10 instances (left) and estimated (right,stars) ws exact (right,circles) \( \alpha \)-cuts.

(4): \( \frac{N}{2} = 101 \). 10 instances (left) and estimated (right,stars) ws exact (right,circles) \( \alpha \)-cuts.

3 \textbf{L}_1\text{-norm based F-transform}

Insert definition of F-transform in the L1 norm case

We have seen that the direct F-transform, in combination with the \( \frac{\alpha}{2} \)-quantiles and \( (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \)-quantiles, produces the \( \alpha \)-cuts of a fuzzy-valued iF-transform of \( f(x) \) on \([a, b]\).

An interesting problem is to relate the obtained membership function of the fuzzified \( f(x) \) to the basic functions of the fuzzy partition \((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A})\).

We first consider the problem for the value \( \alpha = 1 \), i.e. the \( \text{L}_1 \)-norm based
values $F_k$ obtained by minimizing

$$
\Phi_k(F) = \int_a^b |f(x) - F|A_k(x)dx = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} |f(x) - F|A_k(x)dx
$$

or, for a generalized fuzzy partition $(\mathbb{P}, A^{(r)})$, the functional $(r \geq 1)$

$$
\Phi_k(F) = \int_{x_{k-r}}^{x_{k+r}} |f(x) - F|A_k^{(r)}(x)dx.
$$

The first step is to determine the possibility distribution functions $G_k(x)$, for each $k = 1, ..., n$, such that the minimization of $\Phi_k(F)$ produces the same result as the minimization of $\int_{x_{k-r}}^{x_{k+r}} |f(x) - F|dG_k(x)$; correspondingly, we can obtain the fuzzy numbers $B_k$ with support $x \in [x_{k-r}, x_{k+r}]$ and with membership functions ($\bar{x}_k$ correspond to membership value 1)

$$
B_k(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x < x_{k-r} \\
B_k^L(x) & \text{if } x_{k-r} \leq x \leq \bar{x}_k \\
B_k^R(x) & \text{if } \bar{x}_k \leq x \leq x_{k+r} \\
0 & \text{if } x > x_{k+r}
\end{cases}
$$

such that

$$
G_k(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{r}B_k^L(x) & \text{if } x_{k-r} \leq x \leq \bar{x}_k \\
1 - \frac{1}{r}B_k^R(x) & \text{if } \bar{x}_k \leq x \leq x_{k+r} \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

For simplicity, we denote the basic functions by $A_k$ instead of the more complete notation $A_k^{(r)}$; and assume that

$$
A_k(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x < x_{k-r} \\
A_k^L(x) & \text{if } x_{k-r} \leq x \leq x_k \\
A_k^R(x) & \text{if } x_k \leq x \leq x_{k+r} \\
0 & \text{if } x > x_{k+r}
\end{cases}
$$

We will use the two integrals

$$
I_k^L = \int_{x_{k-r}}^{x_k} A_k^L(x)dx, \quad I_k^R = \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+r}} A_k^R(x)dx \quad \text{and} \quad I_k = I_k^L + I_k^R.
$$

Defining the integral functions

$$
G_k(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x \leq x_{k-r} \\
\frac{1}{I_k} \int_{x_{k-r}}^{x_k} A_k(x)dx & \text{if } x \in [x_{k-r}, x_{k+r}] \quad k = 1, ..., n \\
1 & \text{if } x \geq x_{k+r}
\end{cases}
$$
and assuming that each $A_k(x)$ is a continuous function, we have immediately that
\[ \Phi_k(F) = I_k \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} |f(x) - F| dG_k(x) \]
and the minimization (with respect to $F$) of \( \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} |f(x) - F| dG_k(x) \) is equivalent to the minimization of $\Phi_k(F)$.

4 A variant to F-Transform

A fuzzy partition of a given real compact interval $[a, b]$ is constructed by a decomposition $\mathbb{P} = \{a = x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$ into $n - 1$ subintervals $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$, $k = 2, \ldots, n$ and by a family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\}$ of $n$ fuzzy numbers (the basic functions), identified by their membership functions $A_1(x), A_2(x), \ldots, A_n(x)$ on $[a, b]$ and with the properties (to complete this notation, we set $x_0 = a$ and $x_{n+1} = b$):

1. $A_k : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous with $A_k(x_k) = 1$, $A_k(x) = 0$ for $x \notin [x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]$;
2. for $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, $A_k$ is increasing on $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ and decreasing on $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$; $A_1$ is decreasing on $[a, x_2]$; $A_n$ is increasing on $[x_{n-1}, b]$;
3. for all $x \in [a, b]$ the partition of unity condition holds $\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k(x) = 1$.

We denote a fuzzy partition by the pair $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{A})$.

The basic functions can be defined by monotonic branches $A_1(x) = R_1(x)$ for $x \in [a, x_2]$, $A_n(x) = L_n(x)$ for $x \in [x_{n-1}, b]$ and

\[
A_k(x) = \begin{cases} 
L_k(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k] \\
R_k(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]
\end{cases} \quad (9)
\]

where each function $L_k(x)$ is increasing with $L_k(x_{k-1}) = 0$, $L_k(x_k) = 1$ and each $R_k(x)$ is decreasing with $R_k(x_k) = 1$, $R_k(x_{k+1}) = 0$.

The support of each basic function $A_k(x)$ is the compact interval $[x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]$ so that, on each subinterval $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ of the decomposition $\mathbb{P}$ only two basic functions $A_{k-1}(x)$ and $A_k(x)$ are non zero and we can introduce the conditions

\[ L_k(x) + R_{k-1}(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k] \text{ and } k = 2, \ldots, n \]

and use only $n - 1$ functions $L_k(x), k = 2, \ldots, n$ (or $R_k(x), k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$) to define a fuzzy partition $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{A})$:

\[
A_1(x) = 1 - L_2(x) \text{ for } x \in [a, x_2], \quad (10)
\]

\[
A_k(x) = \begin{cases}
L_k(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k] \\
1 - L_{k+1}(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]
\end{cases} \text{ for } k = 2, \ldots, n - 1,
\]

\[ A_n(x) = L_n(x) \text{ for } x \in [x_{n-1}, b]. \]
So, each fuzzy partition is essentially defined by decomposition \( \mathbb{P} \) and by \( n - 1 \) increasing functions \( L_k(x), k = 2, 3, ..., n \) such that

\[
L_k(x_{k-1}) = 0 \text{ and } L_k(x_k) = 1.
\]

Given a continuous function \( f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and a fuzzy partition \( (\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{A}) \), the \textit{direct fuzzy transform} (F-transform) of \( f \) with respect to \( (\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{A}) \) is the \( n \)-tuple of real numbers \( F = (F_1, F_2, ..., F_n)^T \) (notation \( (\cdot)^T \) means transposition) such that each \( F_k \), given by

\[
F_k = \frac{\int_a^b f(x)A_k(x)dx}{\int_a^b A_k(x)dx}, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., n,
\]

is the unique minimizer of the convex functional

\[
\Phi_k(F) = \int_a^b (f(x) - F)^2 A_k(x)dx
\]

i.e.

\[
F_k = \arg \min_F \Phi_k(F) \iff F_k = \frac{\int_a^b f(x)A_k(x)dx}{\int_a^b A_k(x)dx}.
\]

Each \( F_k \) is a local weighted least squares approximation of function \( f(x) \) with weighting function \( A_k(x) \) so that \( F_k \) is a weighted average of \( f(x) \) on \([x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]\).

The setting \( (\cdot) \) can be generalized by considering a real value \( p > 0 \) and defining the \( L_p \)-norm based approximation of function \( f(x) \) on \([x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]\), by minimizing the functional

\[
\Phi_k^{(p)}(F) = \int_a^b |f(x) - F|^p A_k(x)dx
\]

and by setting

\[
F_k^{(p)} = \arg \min_F \Phi_k^{(p)}(F).
\]

Of particular interest is the case \( p = 1 \),

\[
\Phi_k^{(1)}(F) = \int_a^b |f(x) - F| A_k(x)dx.
\]

\( L_1 \)-norm based approximation defines the direct F-transform component \( F_k^{(1)} \) as the minimizer of the absolute deviations \( |f(x) - F| \) on \([x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]\) (weighted by \( A_k(x) \)). In statistical terms, the minimizer of \( \Phi_k^{(1)}(F) \) produces an analogous of the weighted median of function \( f(x) \) on \([x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]\).
In the discrete case, assume that $f$ is given at a sufficiently dense set of $m$ points $t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_m$; the functional to be minimized is

$$\Psi_k(F) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} |f(t_j) - F|A_k(t_j).$$

Function $\Psi_k(F)$ is nondifferentiable and an explicit closed-form solution is not available. The minimization of $\Psi_k(F)$ can be obtained by linear programming.

For $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ let $j_k$ and $n_k$ be the integers such that $t_j \in [x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}]$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ for $j = j_k + 1, \ldots, j_k + n_k$. We have $n_k \geq 1$ because the points $t_j$ are sufficiently dense with respect to the partition $(\mathcal{T}, A)$. Then

$$\Psi_k(F) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} |f(t_{j_k+i}) - F|A_k(t_{j_k+i})$$

and a linear programming formulation can be obtained as follows: define $2n_k$ nonnegative variables $y^-_i, y^+_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_k$ such that

$$y^+_i - y^-_i + F = f(t_{j_k+i}), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_k$$

and

$$y^-_i + y^+_i = |F - f(t_{j_k+i})|, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_k.$$

Then

$$\Psi_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (y^-_i + y^+_i)A_k(t_{j_k+i})$$

and, defining $c^{(k)}_i = A_k(t_{j_k+i})$ and $b^{(k)}_i = f(t_{j_k+i})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, the minimization of $\Psi_k$ becomes

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} c^{(k)}_i y^-_i + c^{(k)}_i y^+_i$$

s.t.

- $F - y^-_i + y^+_i = b^{(k)}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n_k$
- $y^-_i \geq 0$
- $y^+_i \geq 0$
- $F$ unconstrained

The dual of (14) is

$$\max \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} b^{(k)}_j w_j$$

s.t.

- $\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j = 0$
- $-c^{(k)}_j \leq w_j \leq c^{(k)}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n_k$

We see immediately that problem (15) is feasible ($w_j = 0 \ \forall j = 1, \ldots, n_k$ is feasible) and is also bounded ($\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} b^{(k)}_j w_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} |b^{(k)}_j| c^{(k)}_j$, because $c^{(k)}_j \geq 0$).
It follows that
a) problem (14) always has an optimal bounded solution,
b) the optimal value $F_k$ corresponding to the optimal solution of (14) is obtained by

$$F_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (y_i^- - y_i^+ + b_i^{(k)})$$

Given a continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a fuzzy partition $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A})$ and the $L_1$-norm based direct F-transform $(F_1, F_2, ..., F_n)^T$ of $f$ with respect to $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A})$, the $L_1$-norm based inverse F-transform is the continuous function $\hat{f}_F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\hat{f}_F(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k A_k(x)$$

for $x \in [a, b]$. (16)

REMARK

The same construction can be obtained in terms of an extended fuzzy partition $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A}(r))$ of bandwidth $r \geq 1$, where each basic function $A_k^{(r)}(x)$ is defined to "cover" the subinterval $[x_{k-r}, x_{k+r}]$, $k = 2 - r, ..., 1, 2, ..., n, ..., n + r - 1$.

Now, it is not difficult to determine the fuzzy number $B_k(x)$, corresponding to the possibility distribution $G_k(x)$, in terms of $A_k^L$ and $A_k^R$. Omitting the details, define $\tilde{x}_k \in [x_{k-r}, x_{k+r}]$ such that

$$\int_{x_{k-r}}^{\tilde{x}_k} A_k(x) dx = \frac{I_k}{2} = \frac{I_k^L + I_k^R}{2}.$$  

We have three cases:

1) if $\tilde{x}_k = x_k$, i.e. if $I_k^L = I_k^R$, then

$$B_k^L(x) = \frac{2}{I_k} \int_{x_{k-r}}^{\tilde{x}_k} A_k^L(x) dx$$

$$B_k^R(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{I_k} \int_{x_k}^{\tilde{x}_k} A_k^R(x) dx.$$

2) if $\tilde{x}_k < x_k$, i.e. if $I_k^L > I_k^R$, then

$$B_k^L(x) = \frac{2}{I_k} \int_{x_{k-r}}^{\tilde{x}_k} A_k^L(x) dx$$

$$B_k^R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{2}{I_k} \int_{\tilde{x}_k}^{x_k} A_k^R(x) dx & \text{if } \tilde{x}_k \leq x \leq x_k \\ \frac{2I_k^R}{I_k} - \frac{2}{I_k} \int_{x_k}^{\tilde{x}_k} A_k^R(x) dx & \text{if } x_k \leq x \leq x_{k+r} \end{cases}.$$
(3) if $x_k < \bar{x}_k$, i.e. if $I_{k}^{L} < I_{k}^{R}$, then

$$B_{k}^{L}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{I_{k}} \int_{x_k-r}^{x_k} A_{k}^{L}(x)dx & \text{if } x \leq x_k \\ \frac{2I_{k}}{I_{k}} + \frac{2}{I_{k}} \int_{x_k}^{x} A_{k}^{R}(x)dx & \text{if } x_k \leq x \leq \bar{x}_k \end{cases}$$

$$B_{k}^{R}(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{I_{k}} \int_{x_k}^{x} A_{k}^{R}(x)dx.$$ 

The discussion above shows a relevant fact: the $\alpha$-cuts $[F_{k,\alpha}^{-}, F_{k,\alpha}^{+}]$, estimated by computing the $\frac{\alpha}{2}$-quantiles and $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$-quantiles for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, by $L_1$-norm based F-transform, define a fuzzy number $F_k$ such that, for $x \in [x_k-r, x_k+r]$, the membership value of $f(x)$ with respect to $F_k$ is $B_k(x)$.

We can prove some additional theoretical results about this results.

Crouzet in [2] introduces the fuzzy projection that is closely related to fuzzy and inverse fuzzy transforms.

The fuzzy projection, as the inverse fuzzy transform, is another way to address the sampling/interpolation problem in a fuzzy context. The main advantage is that they are numerically very simple to implement and they require little computation. We have also shown that interpolation kernels are easy to compute, numerically stable, address the requirement of being very well localized.

The fuzzy projection is defined as

$$Pf = \text{Arg min}_{g \in \Pi} \| f - g \|_2$$

and it minimizes classical global least square criteria, while the inverse fuzzy transform

$$Qf = F \ast Ff$$

is computed using the components of the fuzzy transform $(Ff)_k$ that minimize the $p+2$ local functionals

$$\Phi_k(y) = \int_{0}^{d} (y - f(x))^2 \mu_k(x) dx$$

For the moment, we illustrate a computational example.

The function (as in Crouzet) is $f(x) = x(10 - x)\sin(x^2)$ on $[0, 10]$. Two runs are executed with $m = 601$ and $m = 1201$ points; the number $n$ of basic functions is $301$ and $r = 5$.

The quantile F-transform to determine the $\alpha$-cuts for $\alpha \in \{0.05, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0\}$ is illustrated in the figure below.

\footnote{The routines for quantile L1-based F-transform are available.}
Quantile (L1-norm based) F-transform for example

and the membership functions $A_k(x)$, $B_k(x)$ and the corresponding possibility distribution are illustrated in the next figure;

Membership functions $A_k(x)$ (red-dots), $B_k(x)$ (black-dots) and corresponding possibility distribution $G_k(x)$ (blue-dots)

The membership function of $f(x)$ derived from $B_k(x)$ is then computed and compared with the estimated $\alpha$-cuts for the two runs with $m = 601$ and $m = 1201$ data points; with $m = 601$ data, the computed $[F_{k,\alpha}^-, F_{k,\alpha}^+]$ by L1-based F-transform are illustrated in the two final figures; observe that $m = 1201$ observations give $\alpha$-cuts quite near to the membership function of $f(x)$ corresponding to $B_k(x)$ (here, $k = r + 1$)
Theoretical (dot-blue) and empirical (star-black) values of membership function of \( f(x) \) from L1-norm based F-transform; case of \( m = 601 \) data points and \( n = 301, r = 5 \).

It is immediate to see that more data produce, as expected, more precise estimated \( \alpha \)-cuts.

5 Use of the L1-norm based F-transform as a fuzzification tool

Possibly important consequence

We have seen that fixing the basic functions \( A_k(x) \) induces a family of membership functions \( B_k(x) \) or, equivalently, a family of possibility distribution functions \( G_k(x) \).
So, instead of choosing the basic functions $A_k(x)$ we can choose directly the family $\{G_k|k=1,...,n\}$ of possibility distributions and determine the (direct) L1-norm based F-transform by minimizing

$$
\Phi_k(F) = \int_{x_{k-r}}^{x_{k+r}} |f(x) - F| dG_k(x)
$$

and similarly, for the $\frac{\alpha}{2}$-quantiles and $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$-quantiles, by minimizing (the integrals are Stieltjes)

$$
\Phi_{k,\alpha}^{(-)}(F) = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \int_a^b (f(x) - F)^- dG_k(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b (f(x) - F)^+ dG_k(x)
$$

$$
\Phi_{k,\alpha}^{(+)}(F) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_a^b (f(x) - F)^- dG_k(x) + (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \int_a^b (f(x) - F)^+ dG_k(x).
$$

**Final comment**

Any family of distributions $\mathbb{G} = \{G_k|k=1,...,n\}$ defined on a decomposition $P$ of $[a,b]$ will produce a fuzzy (or possibilistic) partition $(P,\mathbb{G})$ and a corresponding fuzzy-valued F-transform.

**6 Conclusion**

We have proposed
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